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|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Proficient****9-8 pts** | **Developing****7-5 pts** | **Foundational****4-0 pts** |
| **Questions**  | Asked the subjects of the interviews the questions provided and included detailed answers in the paper  | Asked the subjects the questions provided and included answers; Answers were not detailed  | Did not ask the subject of the interview the questions provided.  |
| **Expression**  | Summary of the interviews were written in an engaging and compelling style using paragraph form.  | Summary of the interviews were written in a clear and organized fashion using paragraph form.  | Summary of the interviews were not written in a clear or organized fashion.  |
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| **Connection to Theory**  | The student writer made clear and accurate connections to theories of language development outlined in the class/text.  | The student writer attempted to make connections to theories of language outlined in the class/text, but some information was not accurate.  | There was little or no attempt to connect responses of those interviewed to concepts presented in the text and in class.  |
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